



ANNEX I

LOCAL MITIGATION PLAN REVIEW CROSSWALK

CHATHAM COUNTY MULTI-JURISDICTION PRE-DISASTER HAZARD MITIGATION PLAN

DECEMBER 2010



THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY BLANK



INSTRUCTIONS FOR USING THE PLAN REVIEW CROSSWALK FOR REVIEW OF LOCAL MITIGATION PLANS

Attached is a Plan Review Crosswalk based on the **Local Multi-Hazard Mitigation Planning Guidance**, published by FEMA in July, 2008. This Plan Review Crosswalk is consistent with the *Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and Emergency Assistance Act* (Stafford Act), as amended by Section 322 of the *Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000* (P.L. 106-390), the *National Flood Insurance Act of 1968*, as amended by the *National Flood Insurance Reform Act of 2004* (P.L. 108-264) and *44 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Part 201 – Mitigation Planning*, inclusive of all amendments through October 31, 2007.

SCORING SYSTEM

N – Needs Improvement: The plan does not meet the minimum for the requirement. Reviewer's comments must be provided.

S – Satisfactory: The plan meets the minimum for the requirement. Reviewer's comments are encouraged, but not required.

Each requirement includes separate elements. All elements of a requirement must be rated "Satisfactory" in order for the requirement to be fulfilled and receive a summary score of "Satisfactory." A "Needs Improvement" score on elements shaded in gray (recommended but not required) will not preclude the plan from passing.

When reviewing single jurisdiction plans, reviewers may want to put an N/A in the boxes for multi-jurisdictional plan requirements. When reviewing multi-jurisdictional plans, however, all elements apply. States that have additional requirements can add them in the appropriate sections of the *Local Multi-Hazard Mitigation Planning Guidance* or create a new section and modify this Plan Review Crosswalk to record the score for those requirements. Optional matrices for assisting in the review of sections on profiling hazards, assessing vulnerability, and identifying and analyzing mitigation actions are found at the end of the Plan Review Crosswalk.



LOCAL MITIGATION PLAN REVIEW SUMMARY

The plan cannot be approved if the plan has not been formally adopted. Each requirement includes separate elements. All elements of the requirement must be rated "Satisfactory" in order for the requirement to be fulfilled and receive a score of "Satisfactory." Elements of each requirement are listed on the following pages of the Plan Review Crosswalk. A "Needs Improvement" score on elements shaded in gray (recommended but not required) will not preclude the plan from passing. Reviewer's comments must be provided for requirements receiving a "Needs Improvement" score.

SCORING SYSTEM

Please check one of the following for each requirement.

N – Needs Improvement: The plan does not meet the minimum for the requirement. Reviewer's comments must be provided.

S – Satisfactory: The plan meets the minimum for the requirement. Reviewer's comments are encouraged, but not required.

Prerequisite(s) (Check Applicable Box)

- 1. Adoption by the Local Governing Body: §201.6(c)(5) OR
- 2. Multi-Jurisdictional Plan Adoption: §201.6(c)(5)
AND
- 3. Multi-Jurisdictional Planning Participation: §201.6(a)(3)

	NOT MET	MET
1.		
2.		
3.		

Planning Process

- 4. Documentation of the Planning Process: §201.6(b) and §201.6(c)(1)

	N	S
4.		

Risk Assessment

- 5. Identifying Hazards: §201.6(c)(2)(i)
- 6. Profiling Hazards: §201.6(c)(2)(i)
- 7. Assessing Vulnerability: Overview: §201.6(c)(2)(ii)
- 8. Assessing Vulnerability: Addressing Repetitive Loss Properties. §201.6(c)(2)(ii)
- 9. Assessing Vulnerability: Identifying Structures, Infrastructure, and Critical Facilities: §201.6(c)(2)(ii)(B)
- 10. Assessing Vulnerability: Estimating Potential Losses: §201.6(c)(2)(ii)(B)
- 11. Assessing Vulnerability: Analyzing Development Trends: §201.6(c)(2)(ii)(C)

	N	S
5.		
6.		
7.		
8.		
9.		
10.		
11.		

- 12. Multi-Jurisdictional Risk Assessment: §201.6(c)(2)(iii)

	N	S
12.		

*States that have additional requirements can add them in the appropriate sections of the *Local Multi-Hazard Mitigation Planning Guidance* or create a new section and modify this Plan Review Crosswalk to record the score for those requirements.

Mitigation Strategy

- 13. Local Hazard Mitigation Goals: §201.6(c)(3)(i)
- 14. Identification and Analysis of Mitigation Actions: §201.6(c)(3)(ii)
- 15. Identification and Analysis of Mitigation Actions: NFIP Compliance. §201.6(c)(3)(ii)
- 16. Implementation of Mitigation Actions: §201.6(c)(3)(iii)
- 17. Multi-Jurisdictional Mitigation Actions: §201.6(c)(3)(iv)

	N	S
13.		
14.		
15.		
16.		
17.		

Plan Maintenance Process

- 18. Monitoring, Evaluating, and Updating the Plan: §201.6(c)(4)(ii)
- 19. Incorporation into Existing Planning Mechanisms: §201.6(c)(4)(ii)
- 20. Continued Public Involvement: §201.6(c)(4)(iii)

	N	S
18.		
19.		
20.		

Additional State Requirements

- County and City participation documentation (ie: sign-in sheets, meeting agendas/minutes, etc)
- Full documentation of providing opportunities for participation, comment and incorporation (neighboring, regional, business, public)
- Critical Facility Inventory completed on-line
- Critical Facility Inventory reports and maps included in the Plan Submitted Plan and Appendix materials in hard copy and digital formats.

	N	S

Plan Approval Status

Plan Approved by State of Georgia

	N	Y	Date



Local Mitigation Plan Review and Approval Status

Jurisdiction: Chatham County, Georgia	Title of Plan: Chatham County Pre-Disaster Hazard Mitigation Plan	Date of Plan: November 2009
Local Point of Contact: Dennis Jones	Address:	
Title: Assistant Director		
Agency: Chatham Emergency Management Agency (CEMA)		
Phone Number: 912-201-4500	E-Mail: DTJones@chathamcounty.org	

State Reviewer:	Title:	Date:
------------------------	---------------	--------------

FEMA Reviewer:	Title:	Date:
Date Received in FEMA Region [Insert #]		
Plan Not Approved		
Plan Approved		
Date Approved		

Jurisdiction:	NFIP Status*			
	Y	N	N/A	CRS Class
1.				
2.				
3.				
4.				
5. [ATTACH PAGE(S) WITH ADDITIONAL JURISDICTIONS]				

* Notes: Y = Participating N = Not Participating N/A = Not Mapped



PREREQUISITE(S)

1. Adoption by the Local Governing Body

Requirement §201.6(c)(5): [The local hazard mitigation plan **shall** include] documentation that the plan has been formally adopted by the governing body of the jurisdiction requesting approval of the plan (e.g., City Council, County Commissioner, Tribal Council).

Element	Location in the Plan (section or annex and page #)	Reviewer's Comments	SCORE	
			NOT MET	MET
A. Has the local governing body adopted new or updated plan?	To be inserted, Annex E	This is a multi-jurisdictional plan. Plan will be adopted after FEMA review		
B. Is supporting documentation, such as a resolution, included?	To be inserted, Annex E	This is a multi-jurisdictional plan. Resolutions will be included in Annex E, once local jurisdictions adopt plan update.		
SUMMARY SCORE				

2. Multi-Jurisdictional Plan Adoption

Requirement §201.6(c)(5): For multi-jurisdictional plans, each jurisdiction requesting approval of the plan **must** document that it has been formally adopted.

Element	Location in the Plan (section or annex and page #)	Reviewer's Comments	SCORE	
			NOT MET	MET
A. Does the new or updated plan indicate the specific jurisdictions represented in the plan?	Front Cover & Chapter 1, pg 5	Plan includes Chatham Co, Savannah, Garden City, Pooler, Tybee Island, Port Wentworth, Thunderbolt & Bloomingdale		
B. For each jurisdiction, has the local governing body adopted the new or updated plan?	To be inserted, Annex E	In accordance with FEMA Local Multi-Hazard Mitigation Planning Guidance, the plan update will be presented to and adopted by local jurisdiction governing bodies after FEMA approval		
C. Is supporting documentation, such as a resolution, included for each participating jurisdiction?	To be inserted, Annex E, page 279	Resolutions will be included in Annex E, once local jurisdictions adopt the plan update.		
SUMMARY SCORE				

3. Multi-Jurisdictional Planning Participation

Requirement §201.6(a)(3): Multi-jurisdictional plans (e.g., watershed plans) may be accepted, as appropriate, as long as each jurisdiction has participated in the process ... Statewide plans will not be accepted as multi-jurisdictional plans.

Element	Location in the Plan (section or annex and page #)	Reviewer's Comments	SCORE	
			NOT MET	MET
A. Does the new or updated plan describe how each jurisdiction participated in the plan's development?	Chapter 1, section II, pgs 6-9; see also Annex E	Plan describes each municipality's participation in the Steering Committee.		
B. Does the updated plan identify all participating jurisdictions, including new, continuing, and the jurisdictions that no longer participate in the plan?	Chapter 1, section II, pgs 6-9	The Plan identifies all participating jurisdictions; there are no new or former municipalities from the 2005 plan.		
SUMMARY SCORE				
State of Georgia Requirements: County and City participation documentation and narration (ie: sign-in sheets, meeting agendas & minutes, etc)	Annex E	Copies of Required Planning Documentation are included in annexes.		



PLANNING PROCESS: §201.6(b): *An open public involvement process is essential to the development of an effective plan.*

4. Documentation of the Planning Process

Requirement §201.6(b): *In order to develop a more comprehensive approach to reducing the effects of natural disasters, the planning process shall include:*

- (1) *An opportunity for the public to comment on the plan during the drafting stage and prior to plan approval;*
- (2) *An opportunity for neighboring communities, local and regional agencies involved in hazard mitigation activities, and agencies that have the authority to regulate development, as well as businesses, academia and other private and non-profit interests to be involved in the planning process; and*
- (3) *Review and incorporation, if appropriate, of existing plans, studies, reports, and technical information.*

Requirement §201.6(c)(1): *[The plan shall document] the planning process used to develop the plan, including how it was prepared, who was involved in the process, and how the public was involved.*

Element	Location in the Plan (section or annex and page #)	Reviewer's Comments	SCORE	
			N	S
A. Does the plan provide a narrative description of the process followed to prepare the new or updated plan?	Chapter 1, Section II pgs 5-11	The planning process is described in detail.		
B. Does the new or updated plan indicate who was involved in the current planning process? (For example, who led the development at the staff level and were there any external contributors such as contractors? Who participated on the plan committee, provided information, reviewed drafts, etc.?)	Chapter 1, Section II pgs 6-7; Annex E	The Steering Committee members and contractor team are identified in the text; additional detail regarding the Steering Committee is provided in Annex E (meeting minutes and sign-in sheets).		
C. Does the new or updated plan indicate how the public was involved? (Was the public provided an opportunity to comment on the plan during the drafting stage and prior to the plan approval?)	Ch 1, section II, pgs 6-9; and section VI, pgs 14-15	Chapter 1, section II, describes invitation & notification for the public to participate and public involvement in the development and review of the Plan update. All Steering Committee meetings were open to the public. A final public Steering Committee meeting will be held upon notification of FEMA approval pending local jurisdiction adoption. Meeting notice & information will be incorporated in Annex C.		
D. Does the new or updated plan discuss the opportunity for neighboring communities, agencies, businesses, academia, nonprofits, and other interested parties to be involved in the planning process?	Ch 1, section II, pgs 5-9	Communities, academia, etc., were members of steering committee. Outreach was also provided through a workshop at the annual Hurricane Conference & a presentation at an LEPC meeting.		
E. Does the planning process describe the review and incorporation, if appropriate, of existing plans, studies, reports, and technical information?	Ch. I, section I, pg 7; section II, pg 5-10; section VIII, pages 28-30; Annex C.	The Plan incorporates the previous Chatham County Pre-Disaster Hazard Mitigation Plan and describes use of other local plans (e.g., Comprehensive Plan) and local studies in updating the Plan.		
F. Does the updated plan document how the planning team reviewed and analyzed each section of the plan and whether each section was revised as part of the update process?	Chapter 1, Section III pgs 4, 10-11	The plan updates are summarized by chapter.		
SUMMARY SCORE				
State of Georgia Requirements: Full documentation of providing opportunities for participation, comment and incorporation (neighboring, regional, business, public)	Annex E includes Public Notices	Includes in annexes.		



RISK ASSESSMENT: §201.6(c)(2): *The plan shall include a risk assessment that provides the factual basis for activities proposed in the strategy to reduce losses from identified hazards. Local risk assessments must provide sufficient information to enable the jurisdiction to identify and prioritize appropriate mitigation actions to reduce losses from identified hazards.*

5. Identifying Hazards

Requirement §201.6(c)(2)(i): *[The risk assessment shall include a] description of the type ... of all natural hazards that can affect the jurisdiction.*

Element	Location in the Plan (section or annex and page #)	Reviewer's Comments	SCORE	
			N	S
A. Does the new or updated plan include a description of the types of all natural hazards that affect the jurisdiction?	Chapter 2, Sections I thru V; pgs 31-89; Annex A	Thorough descriptions of 5 natural hazards included		
SUMMARY SCORE				

6. Profiling Hazards

Requirement §201.6(c)(2)(i): *[The risk assessment shall include a] description of the ... location and extent of all natural hazards that can affect the jurisdiction. The plan shall include information on previous occurrences of hazard events and on the probability of future hazard events.*

Element	Location in the Plan (section or annex and page #)	Reviewer's Comments	SCORE	
			N	S
A. Does the risk assessment identify the location (<i>i.e.</i> , geographic area affected) of each natural hazard addressed in the new or updated plan?	Chapter 2, Sections I thru V; pgs 34-89, subsection B for each; Annex A	The location for each hazard is addressed in its respective section.		
B. Does the risk assessment identify the extent (<i>i.e.</i> , magnitude or severity) of each hazard addressed in the new or updated plan?	Chapter 2, Sections I thru V; pgs 34-89, subsections C & D for each; Annex A	The extent each hazard is addressed in its respective section.		
C. Does the plan provide information on previous occurrences of each hazard addressed in the new or updated plan?	Chapter 2, Sections I thru V; pgs 34-89, subsection B for each; Annex A	The previous occurrences of each hazard is addressed in its respective section		
D. Does the plan include the probability of future events (<i>i.e.</i> , chance of occurrence) for each hazard addressed in the new or updated plan?	Chapter 2, Sections I thru V; pgs 34-89, subsection B for each; Annex A	The chance of occurrence for each hazard is addressed in its respective section		
SUMMARY SCORE				



7. Assessing Vulnerability: Overview

Requirement §201.6(c)(2)(ii): [The risk assessment **shall** include a] description of the jurisdiction's vulnerability to the hazards described in paragraph (c)(2)(i) of this section. This description **shall** include an overall summary of each hazard and its impact on the community.

Element	Location in the Plan (section or annex and page #)	Reviewer's Comments	SCORE	
			N	S
A. Does the new or updated plan include an overall summary description of the jurisdiction's vulnerability to each hazard?	Chapter 2, Sections I-V; pgs 34-89; Annex A	Vulnerability for each hazard is addressed.		
B. Does the new or updated plan address the impact of each hazard on the jurisdiction?	Chapter 2, Sections I-V; pgs 34-89; Annex A	Impact of each hazard is addressed		
SUMMARY SCORE				
State of Georgia Requirement: Critical Facility Inventory completed on-line	Annex A. See page A-4.	Inventory included for each hazard		
State of Georgia Requirements: Critical Facility Inventory reports and maps included in the Plan	Annex A (see page A-4) & Chapter 2, Sections I-V, pgs 34-89	Map included Inventory Assets sub-section C for each hazard		

8. Assessing Vulnerability: Addressing Repetitive Loss Properties

Requirement §201.6(c)(2)(ii): [The risk assessment] **must** also address National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) insured structures that have been repetitively damaged floods.

Element	Location in the Plan (section or annex and page #)	Reviewer's Comments	SCORE	
			N	S
A. Does the new or updated plan describe vulnerability in terms of the types and numbers of repetitive loss properties located in the identified hazard areas?	Chapter 2, pgs 44 & 61	Number and value of Repetitive Loss properties given for Flooding and for Surge Zone.		
SUMMARY SCORE				



9. Assessing Vulnerability: Identifying Structures

Requirement §201.6(c)(2)(ii)(A): *The plan should describe vulnerability in terms of the types and numbers of existing and future buildings, infrastructure, and critical facilities located in the identified hazard area ...*

Element	Location in the Plan (section or annex and page #)	Reviewer's Comments	SCORE	
			N	S
A. Does the new or updated plan describe vulnerability in terms of the types and numbers of existing buildings, infrastructure, and critical facilities located in the identified hazard areas?	Chapter 2, Sections I-V, pgs 34-89 (D); Annex A. See A-4 regarding methodology	Types and numbers of existing buildings included in subsection D of each Hazard Identification.		
B. Does the new or updated plan describe vulnerability in terms of the types and numbers of future buildings, infrastructure, and critical facilities located in the identified hazard areas?	Chapter 2, Sections I-V, pgs 34-89 (C); Annex A. See A-4 re methodology	Future development could not be fully determined at time of update as noted in subsection C of each hazard.		
SUMMARY SCORE				

10. Assessing Vulnerability: Estimating Potential Losses

Requirement §201.6(c)(2)(ii)(B): *[The plan should describe vulnerability in terms of an] estimate of the potential dollar losses to vulnerable structures identified in paragraph (c)(2)(ii)(A) of this section and a description of the methodology used to prepare the estimate ...*

Element	Location in the Plan (section or annex and page #)	Reviewer's Comments	SCORE	
			N	S
A. Does the new or updated plan estimate potential dollar losses to vulnerable structures?	Ch 1, section VIII, Chapter 2, Sections I-V, pgs 34-89 (D)	Estimate of Potential Losses are included in subsection D of each Hazard Identification.		
B. Does the new or updated plan describe the methodology used to prepare the estimate?	Chapter 2, Sections I-V Pgs 34-89 (D); Annex A	Estimate based on Assessor Property Database		
SUMMARY SCORE				

11. Assessing Vulnerability: Analyzing Development Trends

Requirement §201.6(c)(2)(ii)(C): *[The plan should describe vulnerability in terms of] providing a general description of land uses and development trends within the community so that mitigation options can be considered in future land use decisions.*

Element	Location in the Plan (section or annex and page #)	Reviewer's Comments	SCORE	
			N	S
A. Does the new or updated plan describe land uses and development trends?	Chapter 2, Sections I-V, pgs 34-89 (E); Annex A	For each hazard, Land Use is discussed in subsection E.		
SUMMARY SCORE				



12. Multi-Jurisdictional Risk Assessment

Requirement §201.6(c)(2)(iii): For multi-jurisdictional plans, the risk assessment **must** assess each jurisdiction's risks where they vary from the risks facing the entire planning area.

Element	Location in the Plan (section or annex and page #)	Reviewer's Comments	SCORE	
			N	S
A. Does the new or updated plan include a risk assessment for each participating jurisdiction as needed to reflect unique or varied risks?	Chapter 2, Sections I-V, pgs 34-89; Annex A	For each hazard, risk assessment is county wide yet indicates which risks are higher for certain municipalities.		
SUMMARY SCORE				

MITIGATION STRATEGY: §201.6(c)(3): The plan shall include a mitigation strategy that provides the jurisdiction's blueprint for reducing the potential losses identified in the risk assessment, based on existing authorities, policies, programs and resources, and its ability to expand on and improve these existing tools.

13. Local Hazard Mitigation Goals

Requirement §201.6(c)(3)(i): [The hazard mitigation strategy **shall** include a] description of mitigation goals to reduce or avoid long-term vulnerabilities to the identified hazards.

Element	Location in the Plan (section or annex and page #)	Reviewer's Comments	SCORE	
			N	S
A Does the new or updated plan include a description of mitigation goals to reduce or avoid long-term vulnerabilities to the identified hazards?	Chapter 1, sect V; Chapters 4 & 5, pgs 115-219 Coastal storms, p. 116 Tornado, p. 150 Flood, p. 163 Storm Surge, p. 181 Fire, p. 190 Hazardous material, p. 200 Terrorism, p. 206 Transportation, p. 213	Goals are described within the context of each identified hazard (natural and technological)		
SUMMARY SCORE				



14. Identification and Analysis of Mitigation Actions

Requirement §201.6(c)(3)(ii): [The mitigation strategy **shall** include a] section that identifies and analyzes a comprehensive range of specific mitigation actions and projects being considered to reduce the effects of each hazard, with particular emphasis on new and existing buildings and infrastructure.

Element	Location in the Plan (section or annex and page #)	Reviewer's Comments	SCORE	
			N	S
A. Does the new or updated plan identify and analyze a comprehensive range of specific mitigation actions and projects for each hazard?	Chapters 4 and 5, pgs 115-219 Coastal storms, p. 116 Tornado, p. 150 Flood, p. 163 Storm Surge, p. 182 Fire, p. 191 Hazardous material, p. 200 Terrorism, p. 206-207 Transportation, p. 214	Mitigation actions are addressed for each hazard in its respective section.		
B. Do the identified actions and projects address reducing the effects of hazards on new buildings and infrastructure?	Chapters 4 and 5, pgs 115-219	For each Hazard, impact on new buildings/infrastructure is addressed		
C. Do the identified actions and projects address reducing the effects of hazards on existing buildings and infrastructure?	Chapters 4 and 5, pgs 115-219	The mitigation actions addressed pertain to existing buildings & infrastructure.		
SUMMARY SCORE				

15. Identification and Analysis of Mitigation Actions: National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) Compliance

Requirement: §201.6(c)(3)(ii): [The mitigation strategy] must also address the jurisdiction's participation in the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP), and continued compliance with NFIP requirements, as appropriate.

Element	Location in the Plan (section or annex and page #)	Reviewer's Comments	SCORE	
			N	S
A. Does the new or updated plan describe the jurisdiction (s) participation in the NFIP?	Chapter 2, page 47; Chapter 4, Section III, pg 164-167	Chatham County's participation in the NFIP is described in great detail		
B. Does the mitigation strategy identify, analyze and prioritize actions related to continued compliance with the NFIP?	Chapter 4, Section III, pg 167-181	NFIP prioritization is discussed for the Rainwater flooding hazard.		
SUMMARY SCORE				



16. Implementation of Mitigation Actions

Requirement: §201.6(c)(3)(iii): [The mitigation strategy section **shall** include] an action plan describing how the actions identified in section (c)(3)(ii) will be prioritized, implemented, and administered by the local jurisdiction. Prioritization **shall** include a special emphasis on the extent to which benefits are maximized according to a cost benefit review of the proposed projects and their associated costs.

Element	Location in the Plan (section or annex and page #)	Reviewer's Comments	SCORE	
			N	S
A. Does the new or updated mitigation strategy include how the actions are prioritized ? (For example, is there a discussion of the process and criteria used?)	Overviews to Ch 4 and Ch 5; Chapter 6, Implementation Action Plan, pgs 220-221	Mitigation actions are prioritized based on life safety and critical facilities on which emergency management systems are dependent; STAPLEE criteria are used to rate mitigation actions (see STAPLEE worksheets are in Annex D).		
B. Does the new or updated mitigation strategy address how the actions will be implemented and administered, including the responsible department ,existing and potential resources and the timeframe to complete each action?	Chapters 4 and 5, pgs 115-219	Each action gives a responsible party as well as give an overview of authority and responsibility in Implementation Action Plan		
C. Does the new or updated prioritization process include an emphasis on the use of a cost-benefit review to maximize benefits?	Chapter 6, Implementation Action Plan, pgs 221	Cost benefit review will be utilized in the prioritization		
D. Does the updated plan identify the completed, deleted or deferred mitigation actions as a benchmark for progress, and if activities are unchanged (<i>i.e.</i> , deferred), does the updated plan describe why no changes occurred?	Chapters 4 and 5, pgs 115-219	Part F of each section gives information pertaining to deleted actions		
SUMMARY SCORE				

17. Multi-Jurisdictional Mitigation Actions

Requirement §201.6(c)(3)(iv): For multi-jurisdictional plans, there **must** be identifiable action items specific to the jurisdiction requesting FEMA approval or credit of the plan.

Element	Location in the Plan (section or annex and page #)	Reviewer's Comments	SCORE	
			N	S
A. Does the new or updated plan include identifiable action items for each jurisdiction requesting FEMA approval of the plan?	Chapters 4 and 5, Pgs 115-219	For each municipality, there is at least one action item included.		
B. Does the updated plan identify the completed, deleted or deferred mitigation actions as a benchmark for progress, and if activities are unchanged (<i>i.e.</i> , deferred), does the updated plan describe why no changes occurred?	Chapters 4 and 5, Pgs 115-219	Parts C and F of each section in Chapters 4 and 5 provide information on completed, deleted, and deferred actions.		
SUMMARY SCORE				



PLAN MAINTENANCE PROCESS

18. Monitoring, Evaluating, and Updating the Plan

Requirement §201.6(c)(4)(i): [The plan maintenance process **shall** include a] section describing the method and schedule of monitoring, evaluating, and updating the mitigation plan within a five-year cycle.

Element	Location in the Plan (section or annex and page #)	Reviewer's Comments	SCORE	
			N	S
A. Does the new or updated plan describe the method and schedule for monitoring the plan, including the responsible department?	Chapter 6, section II, pages 222	Method of monitoring plan is described		
B. Does the new or updated plan describe the method and schedule for evaluating the plan, including how, when and by whom (<i>i.e.</i> the responsible department)?	Chapter 6, section II, pgs 222	Evaluation Criteria is provided		
C. Does the new or updated plan describe the method and schedule for updating the plan within the five-year cycle?	Chapter 6, sections II & III; pgs 222	Updating the plan is described		
SUMMARY SCORE				

19. Incorporation into Existing Planning Mechanisms

Requirement §201.6(c)(4)(ii): [The plan **shall** include a] process by which local governments incorporate the requirements of the mitigation plan into other planning mechanisms such as comprehensive or capital improvement plans, when appropriate.

Element	Location in the Plan (section or annex and page #)	Reviewer's Comments	SCORE	
			N	S
A. Does the new or updated plan identify other local planning mechanisms available for incorporating the mitigation requirements of the mitigation plan?	Ch 6, Section I, pgs 221	The Chatham County Emergency Operations Plan (EOP) will utilize the mitigation plan requirements for hazard specific planning. An ongoing Unified Zoning Ordinance initiative can also utilize the mitigation plan.		
B. Does the new or updated plan include a process by which the local government will incorporate the mitigation strategy and other information contained in the plan (<i>e.g.</i> , risk assessment) into other planning mechanisms, when appropriate?	Ch 6, Section I, Pgs 221	This plan will be presented to the agencies, writers, consultants and/or committees responsible for comprehensive plans, response plans and other such documents for their use in incorporating mitigation actions in support of their community preparedness, mitigation, and long-term planning activities.		
C. Does the updated plan explain how the local government incorporated the mitigation strategy and other information contained in the plan (<i>e.g.</i> , risk assessment) into other planning mechanisms, when appropriate?	Ch 6, section I, pgs 221	The County and municipal governments incorporate the goals, objectives and steps of this plan into other planning initiatives such as comprehensive plans, emergency operations plans, capital improvement plans, and other plans when appropriate.		
SUMMARY SCORE				



20. Continued Public Involvement

Requirement §201.6(c)(4)(iii): [The plan maintenance process **shall** include a] discussion on how the community will continue public participation in the plan maintenance process.

Element	Location in the Plan (section or annex and page #)	Reviewer's Comments	SCORE	
			N	S
A. Does the new or updated plan explain how continued public participation will be obtained? (For example, will there be public notices, an on-going mitigation plan committee, or annual review meetings with stakeholders?)	Chap 6, section III, pgs 208 & 213	Plan states that public comments shall be considered by CEMA in the plan maintenance and update process, and appropriate data from the review, analysis and public comments will be used to modify the provisions of this plan.		
SUMMARY SCORE				
State of Georgia Requirements:				
Full documentation of providing opportunities for participation, comment and incorporation (neighboring, regional, business, public)				



THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY BLANK